Fine-Grain Power Management in Multicore SoCs using Integrated Voltage Regulators



Wonyoung Kim, David Brooks and Gu-Yeon Wei School of Engineering and Applied Sciences Harvard University

Power delivery in mobile and server systems look similar



Problems with conventional off-chip power delivery

- Inefficient energy usage
- Board area and cost

Problem 1: Sharing single voltage across multiple cores wastes energy



mobile SoC

But... complex board design/cost for per-core voltage control

Impractical to route many voltage domains through PCB and package



Problem 2: Inefficient SoC energy usage with slow (μ s) voltage scaling



L.T. Clark et al, JSSC 2001



Problem 3: Requires large PCB area



Solution: Replace off-chip VRs with IVRs

Advantage 1: IVR saves SoC energy with per-core voltage control



shared voltage

per-core voltage

Per-core voltage control simplifies otherwise complex board design

Reduce off-chip VR with bulky passive elements

Integrate IVRs and cores together in a single die

Multiple copies of IVRs for per-core voltage control



processor

Advantage 2: IVR saves SoC power via nanosecond-scale voltage scaling



IVR offers 1000x faster voltage scaling

L.T. Clark et al, JSSC 2001 1.4 Output Voltage (V) 8.0 9.0 0.9V **1V** 50µs 0.6 **2**0ns 0.4 500mVΩ% M 20.0µs Ch1 \ 1.32 V Chĩ 600 650 700 Time (ns) Off-chip (µs-scale) IVR (ns-scale)

W. Kim et al, ISSCC 2011

Architectural simulations show 20-30% power savings with fast, per-core DVFS



Advantage 3: IVR reduces PCB area



Advantage 4: Distribute power at high voltage and low current to reduce IR loss



Especially important for high performance server systems

Opportunity 1: Leverage architecture & SW to combat voltage noise



V.J. Reddi, et al., Symp. Micro 2009 & 2010

Performance gained by reducing voltage noise margins



V.J. Reddi, et al., Symp. Micro 2009 & 2010

Buck converter



buck

- Wide output voltage range
- Large inductor difficult for on-die integration

Switch-capacitor converter

- No inductor
- High efficiency for output voltages that are integer ratios of the input voltage (e.g., 1/2, 1/3, 2/3)



3-Level VR: Hybrid of buck and switched-cap



- Requires smaller inductor than buck (2x switching frequency & ½ swing)
- Can generate wide range of output voltages

W. Kim et al, ISSCC 2011 & JSSC 2012



- UMC 130nm CMOS
- Integrated spiral inductors (1nH)
- 2.4V to 0.4-1.4V conversion
- 0.9A max load current

W. Kim et al, ISSCC 2011

Nanosecond-scale voltage transition (open loop)



22



POUT increases with output voltage

PLOSS increases as duty cycle deviates from 50%

Comparison to buck (simulated)



Comparison to SC (simulated)



Summary of design

- Generate 0.4-1.4V output voltage
- Nanosecond-scale voltage scaling
- ~80% peak efficiency
- 3mm² die area for 1A delivery

Room for improvement!

On-going IVR projects in TSMC 40nm CMOS

- 3-level IVR ver.2
 - Pads for mounting SMT inductors
 - Dual-loop control



 IVR for Robobee brain chip



Increase efficiency by mounting SMT inductors on top of the die?



on-chip spiral inductor



Murata 1nH 0201 inductors (chip coil. HF thin film, DCR=0.10hms)





Simulated efficiency w/ SMT inductors and TSMC 40nm CMOS, 1.8mm x 0.8mm, ~1W/mm² @ V_{out} = 1V

Power Robobees



PZT actuators: $3.7V \rightarrow 300V$ boost Brain IC: $3.7V \rightarrow 0.9V$

Objective:

Embed brain and power electronics into body scaffold with minimal size and weight overheads



30





Future directions

- Explore different packaging/process technologies to improve IVRs
 - SMT passives on top of die
 - Package/System-in-Package (P/SiP)
 - 2.5D silicon interposers
 - 3D stacking
- Architectural IVR models to facilitate design-space exploration