



Performance Analysis of Lateral and Trench Power MOSFETs for Multi-MHz Switching Operation

Z. John Shen and Patrick Shea

Florida Power Electronics Center School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science University of Central Florida Orlando, Florida, USA





Outline

- Introduction
- Trench and lateral power MOSFETs
- Definition of individual loss terms of power MOSFETs
- Figure-of-Merit (FOM) in the MHz frequency range
- Comparison of lateral and trench power MOSFETs
- Conclusion





Introduction

- Power SoC/SiP concept pushes operating frequency into 10's or even 100's MHz ranges
- Power MOSFET performance in the MHz range become critical to achieve reasonable efficiency
- Focus on the case study of 1-10MHz hard switching buck topology (similar investigation on 10-100MHz resonant topologies in process)





Open Issues

- What power MOSFET technologies are suitable for MHz operation?
- What are the individual power loss contributions of the power MOSFETs?
- Does the RDS(ON)×QG Figure of Merit (FOM) still correlate to the overall converter efficiency into the MHz frequency range?





Power MOSFET: Trench vs. Lateral

- Trench power MOSFETs are widely used as control and synchronous rectifier switches in today's buck converters. They offer very low Rdson but suffer from high Qg
- Various types of integrated or discrete LDMOS devices with very low Qg are available for both RF and switching power applications



Vertical Trench MOSFET



Lateral MOSFET





30V trench MOS cell density has increased from 20M to 450M cell/in² and Rdson has decreased from 30 m Ω mm² to 10 m Ω mm² in the past decade.





new benchmark device)







- Physically-based, numerical MOSFET models (the "virtual" MOSFETs) are directly incorporated into circuit simulation.
- The models faithfully represent the behavior of realistic trench MOSFETs, and prove to be a very powerful tool for our investigation
- ModelingTools Synopsis TCAD





 30V "virtual" trench MOSFET device structure (4µm cell pitch) Buck converter circuit: Typical VRM design spec, Vin=12V, Vout=1V, lout=20A

















Simulated Buck Converter Waveforms







- Turn-on and turn-off switching losses of CtrIFET and body diode loss of SyncFET dominate the total power loss at MHz switching frequency
- Sync-FET gate drive loss is also significant





Power losses vs. PWM Frequency



Turn-on and turn-off switching losses of CtrlFET and body diode loss and gate drive loss of SyncFET increase with switching frequency





From 500kHz to 5MHz:

- Conduction Loss Contribution: 40% → 4%
- Switching Loss Contribution: $60\% \rightarrow 96\%$





Comparison of Trench Power MOSFET Technologies

Туре	Area Factor	Ron (mΩ)	Ciss (pF)	Coss (pF)	Crss (pF)	Qrr (nC)	Qg (nC)	Qgd (nC)	Ron*Qg (mΩ*nC)	Ron*Qgd $(m\Omega*nC)$
Base line	1	9.4	1263	234	144	31.992	13.587	5.0	127.7178	47
Thick bottom	1.04	9.4	1230.8	212.2	109.2	28.14	10.505	4.2	98.747	39.48
Floating poly plug	1.138	9.4	1410.3	227.3	118.9	36.296	11.7	4.5	109.98	42.3
Narrow trench	1.106	9.4	1303	233	106.9	33.097	12.2	5.1	114.68	47.94
High density	0.622	9.4	1546	126	105	18.096	13.685	4.8	128.639	45.12
Low density	1.94	9.4	1318	428	240	57.257	19.24	11.3	180.856	106.22



Comparison of Trench Power MOSFET Technologies

 The thick bottom trench MOSFET technology offers the highest efficiency in the MHz frequency range.









	<i>Ron</i> Ctrl/Sync (mΩ)	Qrr (nC)	Qg (nC)	Qgd (nC)
Base line	9.4/2.2	31.992	13.587	5.0
Thick Bottom	9.4/2.2	28.14	10.505	4.2
Floating Poly Plug	9.4/2.2	36.296	11.7	4.5
Narrow Trench	9.4/2.2	33.097	12.2	5.1
High Density	9.4/2.2	18.096	13.685	4.8
Low Density	9.4/2.2	57.257	19.24	11.3





FOM of Trench Power MOSFETs



The Rdson•Qg FOM seems to be a good indicator of converter efficiency even into the MHz frequency range.

















Summary

- The RDS(ON) × QG Figure of Merit (FOM) still correlate well to buck converter efficiency in the low MHz frequency range
- LDMOS offers significant efficiency improvement (up to 5 percent points at 5 MHz) over trench power MOSFETs in buck converters. The improvement mainly comes from the reduced gate drive power losses and switching times due to low Qg.
- The efficiency of the hard switching buck topology is limited to 80% at 2MHz and 65% at 5MHz even with lateral MOSFETs. CtrIFET switching loss and SyncFET body diode loss predominantly limit the efficiency of hard switching buck converters using either trench or lateral MOSFET chipset.
- Soft switching topology and resonant gate drive technology are needed for even higher MHz ranges. A new MOSFET FOM may be needed for those new circuits.